

Nemaura Medical

Better Diagnostics for Life

NASDAQ: NMRD

Retrospective data analysis for the evaluation of factory (zero finger stick) calibration potential for sugarBEAT®

A Prospective Single Centre Evaluation of the Accuracy and safety of the sugarBEAT® Non-invasive Continuous Glucose Monitor (CGM) System: A retrospective application of algorithms to evaluate factory calibration potential

25th September 2018

Authors

Dr T Rahman , MBBS, PhD
Clinical research Manager,
Nemaura Medical Inc.,

Study Objective

A EU based study to determine the safety
and accuracy of the sugarBEAT® CGM



Study Design



Prospective single arm, single centre study



Retrospective evaluation of 3 non consecutive in clinic visits by 75 patients.



Venous blood samples used as reference for in clinic portion of study using Architect C8000

Study Method

—————

>25 subjects enrolled for screening at each of 3 stages

—————

25 subjects selected after screening in each stage, with approximately equal split between Type 1 and Type 2

—————

No subjects lost due to drop out

—————

12 subjects wore devices bilaterally during in clinic phase

—————

13 subjects had single device during in clinic phase

All subjects blinded to real-time glucose display

Baseline Characteristics

| Variable | |
|--------------|----------------|
| Age – Range | 19 to 70 years |
| Age - Mean | 54.1 years |
| Age - Median | 56 years |
| Type 1 (n) | 39 |
| Type 2 (n) | 36 |

Accuracy vs Glucose Range In-clinic portion of study using retrospectively applied factory calibration constant

| Glucose range (mg/dl) | Number of paired points | Within $\pm 10\%$ or ± 10 mg/dl | | Within $\pm 15\%$ or ± 15 mg/dl | | Within $\pm 20\%$ or ± 20 mg/dl | | Within $\pm 30\%$ or ± 30 mg/dl | | Within $\pm 40\%$ or ± 40 mg/dl | | Outside $\pm 40\%$ or ± 40 mg/dl | |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------|-------------------------------------|------------|-------------------------------------|------------|-------------------------------------|------------|-------------------------------------|------------|--------------------------------------|------------|
| | | % | (MARD) MAD | % | (MARD) MAD |
| Overall | 9863 | 22.69 | [5.02] | 33.07 | [7.31] | 42.19 | [9.44] | 58.66 | [13.61] | 73.01 | [17.83] | 26.99 | [28.21] |
| 40-60 | 0 | Na | Na | Na | Na |
| 61-80 | 137 | 24.09 | 5.18 | 28.47 | 6.43 | 35.04 | 8.37 | 48.18 | 13.10 | 63.50 | 18.55 | 36.50 | 36.14 |
| 81-180 | 5311 | 22.75 | 4.93 | 33.08 | 7.17 | 42.70 | 9.37 | 59.31 | 13.40 | 75.18 | 18.13 | 24.82 | 27.26 |
| 181-300 | 3785 | 22.69 | 5.21 | 33.58 | 7.54 | 42.30 | 9.59 | 58.34 | 13.79 | 70.38 | 17.36 | 29.62 | 29.18 |
| 301-400 | 630 | 21.90 | 4.54 | 30.95 | 6.88 | 38.73 | 9.03 | 57.46 | 14.05 | 72.54 | 18.30 | 27.46 | 29.22 |

MAD: Mean Absolute Deviation - MARD: Mean Absolute Relative Deviation

Note: 9,863 paired data points represents 66% of the total available paired data points. 34% of the data points were not included as they did not show potential for factory calibration.

The performance evaluation included the proportion of the CGM system values that are within ± 10 to $>40\%$ of relative difference of reference value at glucose levels >80 mg/dL and \pm absolute difference at glucose level ≤ 80 mg/dl, ref: <http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/1932296814559746>

Interim Comparative MARD for In-Clinic phase as Primary Accuracy Metric—based on 1 and 2 finger prick calibrations

| | | | |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------|
| Dexcom G5* | No. of Calibrations not known | Overall MARD (20%/20mg/dl) | 9.00 |
| | | %Data | 94.00 |
| sugarBEAT®* | 1-Point Calibration | Overall MARD (20%/20mg/dl) | 8.77 |
| | | %Data | 62.61 |
| | 2-Point Calibration | Overall MARD (20%/20mg/dl) | 7.97 |
| | | %Data | 74.00 |
| | 1-Point Calibration | Overall MARD (30%/30mg/dl) | 12.19 |
| | | %Data | 79.91 |
| | 2-Point Calibration | Overall MARD (30%/30mg/dl) | 10.65 |
| | | %Data | 88.57 |

* <https://dexcom.gcs-web.com/static-files/0a1461dd-e75a-4759-9ddf-50b834756bdd>

Synopsis of accuracy of sugarBEAT® in a real-life setting

Note 1: Senseonics Dexcom G5 and sugarBEAT = 2 point finger prick calibration

| Device | Subjects | Paired Data Points with BGM | Nominal MARD | Reference BGM |
|-----------------------|----------|-----------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|
| Senseonics Eversense* | 23 | 829 | 14.80% | Nova Biomedical StatStrip Xpress |
| Dexcom G5* | 23 | 829 | 16.30% | Nova Biomedical StatStrip Xpress |
| Abbott Libre Pro* | 23 | 829 | 18.00% | Nova Biomedical StatStrip Xpress |
| sugarBEAT® | 36 | 126 | 16.30% | Abbott Freestyle Optimum Neo |

* [http:// www.diabetesincontrol.com /accuracy comparison of the dexcom g5 abbott freestyle libre pro and senseonics eversense/](http://www.diabetesincontrol.com/accuracy-comparison-of-the-dexcom-g5-abbott-freestyle-libre-pro-and-senseonics-eversense/)

Conclusion

The retrospective analysis of data by applying factory calibration constants, indicates there is potential for either factory calibration or potentially reduced frequency of finger stick calibrations. The proportion of patients to whom this would apply, and their skin characteristics and/or patient type is yet to be established.